Table Page

12. Mean (± standard deviation) and range of lengths (mm) and widths (mm) of selected zooplankton taxonomic groups from the lower Roanoke River, delta, and western Albemarle Sound, 1984-1988 ..... 55

13. Length (L, mm)-width (W, mm) relationships of zooplankton prey collected from the lower Roanoke River, delta, and western Albemarle Sound, in 1991 ..... 57

14. Mean (± standard deviation) and range of wet weight biomass (g), and dry weight biomass (µg) of selected zooplankton taxonomic groups from the lower Roanoke River, delta, and western Albemarle Sound, 1984-1988 ..... 58

15. Length (L, mm)-biomass (B, g) relationships of zooplankton prey collected from the lower Roanoke River, delta, and western Albemarle Sound, in 1991 ..... 60

16. Relative contribution (% using biomass) of each taxonomic group to the spring zooplankton community of the lower Roanoke River (Stations 1-12), North Carolina, 1984-1988 ..... 61

17. Relative contribution (% using biomass) of each taxonomic group to the spring zooplankton community of Batchelor Bay (Stations 13-16), North Carolina, 1984-1988..... 63

18. Relative contribution (% using biomass) of each taxonomic group to the spring zooplankton community of Western Albemarle Sound (Stations 17-32), North Carolina, 1984-1988 ..... 65

19. Fish species collected in the lower Roanoke River - western Albemarle Sound study area as larvae or young-of-year, 1984-1991, and the number counted, examined, and containing prey in stomachs, for the data subset used in this study .....67

20. Number of individuals examined for food habit analysis for upstream Roanoke River stations (1,4), downstream Roanoke stations (7,10), and the Cashie River (8) .....69

21. Number of individuals examined for food habit analysis for Batchelor Bay (13-15), and western Albemarle Sound (21,22)..... 70

22. Percentage of individuals with prey in stomachs from upriver stations (1,4), downriver stations (7,10), and Cashie River (8) ..... 71